CLINICAL RESEARCH PROTOCOL CONTINUING REVIEW APPLICATION PROTOCOL TITLE:

PROTOCOL NO.

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR(Print or Type Name):

TROTOGOL TITLE.			
☐ Renew -Enrolled	ject accrual to continue subject followup only discontinued (describe briefly in the attached narrative.)	CHANGE IN PRINCIPAL IN None Delete: Add:	IVESTIGATOR:
_		HAVE ANY ASSOCIATE INVESTIGATORS BEEN ADDED OR DELETED SINCE LAST REVIEW? □ No □ Yes (Identify all changes in the attached narrative)	
SUMMARY OF PROTOCOL SUBJECTS: Accrual ceiling set by IRBNew subjects accrued since last reviewTotal subjects accrued since protocol began (If accrual has been less then expected, discuss in the attached narrative)		CHANGE IN MEDICAL ADVISORY INVESTIGATOR: None Delete: Add:	
ACCOUNT EXCLUS	IONO.	☐ Add:	
ACCRUAL EXCLUS		CHANGE IN RESEARCH C	
		Name (Degree)	Address
IMPAIRED SUBJECTS:	☐ Physically ☐ Cognitively	Telephone	FAX e-mail
RECRUITMENT OR SELE	CHANGES IN THE SUBJECT POPULATION, ECTION CRITERIA SINCE THE LAST REVIEW?	INVESTIGATIONAL NEW I None I FDA No	
☐ No ☐ Yes (Evolain change	s in the attached narrative)	Name	
res (Explain change	o in the attached harranve)	Sponsor	
		Holder	
PROCESS OR DOCUME □ No	CHANGES IN THE INFORMED CONSENT NTATION SINCE THE LAST REVIEW?	THE LAST REVIEW? ☐ No	STIGATORS OR SITES BEEN ADDED SINCE rsons or sites and describe the collaboration in
_ 、.	s in the attached narrative)	the attached narrative)	rsons of sites and describe the collaboration in
HAS ANY INFORMATION APPEARED IN THE LITERATURE, OR EVOLVED FROM THIS OR SIMILAR RESEARCH, THAT MIGHT AFFECT THE IRB'S EVALUATION OF THE RISK /BENEFIT ANALYSIS OF HUMAN SUBJECTS INVOLVED IN THIS PROTOCOL? NO Yes (Discuss in the attached narrative) HAVE ANY UNEXPECTED COMPLICATIONS OR SIDE EFFECTS BEEN NOTED SINCE LAST REVIEW?		IONIZING RADIATION USE (X-rays, radioisotopes, etc.): None Medically indicated only Research indicated: Research usage HAS NOT changed since originally approved by the IRB and RSC Research usage HAS changed since originally approved by	
□No		the IRB and RSC (explain changes in the attached narrative)	
HAVE ANY SUBJECTS WITHDRAWN FROM THIS STUDY SINCE THE		HAVE ANY INVESTIGATORS DEVELOPED AN EQUITY OR CONSULTATIVE RELATIONSHIP WITH A NON-NIH SOURCE RELATED TO THIS PROTOCOL WHICH MIGH BE CONSIDERED A CONFLICT OF INTEREST?	
<u>⊔</u> No		□ No	
☐ Yes (Discuss in the a	•	☐ Yes (Append a state	ment of disclosure)
The Principal Investigator must attach to this application: (1) a copy of the current consent/assent documents and (2) a memorandum to the IRB Chairperson that addresses any "yes" responses to the above questions, and that includes a concise statement regarding protocol progress to date and reason(s) for continuing the study.			
SIGNATURE _	Principal Investigator	_ Date	Send to Accountable Investigator
RECOMMENDATION	-	Date	Send to Branch Chief, or CC
RECOMMENDATION _	Accountable Investigator		Department Head of Principal Investigator
-	Branch Chief, or CC Dept. Head of P.I.	_ Date	Send to Clinical Director
ADDDOVALS	Branch Grist, or GO Dept. Fledd of F.I.	Doto	Cond to Chair Institutional Basiass Based
APPROVALS _	Clinical Director	_ Date	Send to Chair, Institutional Review Board
-	Chair, Institutional Review Board	Protocol and Consent Approved Effective	Send to Protocol Coordination Service Center, MRD (10/1N208) through IRB Protocol Coordinator
COMPLETION _	D 4 10 1 "	_ Date	
	Protocol Specialist		